



United States Department of Commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
Γ_	FINNEGAN GARRETT	I HENDERSON AND DUNNER		18M1/0228	乛	EXA PARKII	MINER N., .j
		STREET NW ON DC 2000	5-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•				DATE MAILED:	02/28/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





## Advisory Action

Application No. 08/470,489

Applicant(s)

Montagnier et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1813



TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]							
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.							
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on							
Ap bu	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $\frac{1/9/97}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:							
X	The proposed amendment(s):							
	🛛 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.							
	will not be entered because:							
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).							
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).							
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.							
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:							
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.							
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in co								
	for allowance because:  the amendment fails to provide objective evidence that would overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112 and 102.							
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
	Claims allowed: none.							
	Claims objected to: none.							
	Claims rejected: 42-49, 52-59, and 62-71.							
	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
	Other //							
	CHRISTINE M. NUCKER							
	/ 🗸							
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM							
	GROUP 180							